IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Emmitt Grier, Jr.,

Plaintiff

v.

C.A. 05-05 ERIE

Edward J. Klemm, et al.,

Defendant

MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO Fed. R.C.P. 12(b)(6) FILED ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT, OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

AND NOW, this 23rd day of January, 2006, comes defendant, Office of the District Attorney, by and through its counsel, Matthew J. McLaughlin, Esquire, Assistant Solicitor for the County of Erie, and files this motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R.C.P. 12(b)(6), stating in support thereof the following:

- 1. Plaintiff, Emmitt Grier, (hereinafter "plaintiff") filed the above referenced civil rights action contending that defendant, Office of the District Attorney, (hereinafter "defendant") violated his constitutionally guaranteed due process rights by failing to provide plaintiff with a rape kit and DNA test results which would have allegedly exculpated plaintiff from one charge of rape and one charge of attempted rape. Plaintiff is requesting that this Court compel the production of a rape kit allegedly in the possession of defendant.
- 2. After a three day jury trial in the Erie County Court of Common Pleas, plaintiff was convicted on June 22, 2000, at Docket No. 2646, of one count of rape, a felony in the first degree; one count of unlawful restraint, a misdemeanor of the first degree; at Docket No. 2647, one count of criminal attempt at rape, a felony of

- the first degree, one count of unlawful restraint, a misdemeanor of the first degree; and at Docket No. 2648, one count of burglary, a felony of the first degree; rape, a felony of the first degree, and unlawful restraint, a misdemeanor of the first degree. He is currently serving a 28 ½ to 75 year sentence in prison.
- 3. Plaintiff admits in his complaint that he made a confession "implicating himself in all three (3) alleged incidents" (plaintiff's complaint ¶ 5).
- 4. Plaintiff further alleges that he... "sought to have and use the results of the testing to show that he was only responsible for one alleged rape charge, which at the time of the occurrence, plaintiff thought to be consensual. Said laboratory testing of the rape kits never took place and because of such, plaintiff has been convicted of two (2) separate charges of rape and attempted rape, as well as the charge where his defense was propounded upon having consensual sex" (sic) (plaintiff's complaint \P 6,7)
- 5. DNA testing was not performed and DNA evidence was not introduced at trial by the prosecution because identification was not an issue. During plaintiff's trial, evidence was introduced identifying him as the individual who committed the crime not only through the victim's testimony, but also from plaintiff himself. Plaintiff gave a lengthy confession to Detective Nate Jones of the Erie Police Department, in which he took responsibility for all three offenses. Further, he was able to indicate to Detective Jones specific facts about the case that no one but the person who committed the crime would know. Plaintiff also indicated not only to the victim, but to two other independent witnesses that he, in fact, had committed the crimes and "didn't know what had come over him".

6. Plaintiff's alleged due process violation is entirely predicated upon his contention that DNA testing and the introduction of DNA evidence could exculpate him from some of the criminal charges for which he was found guilty at trial. This argument was soundly rejected by the Honorable Stephanie Domitrovich of the Erie County Court of Common Pleas. In her lengthy Opinion dated July 2, 2003, Judge Domitrovich determined that plaintiff's appeal of the denial of his PCRA Petition was without merit. Judge Domitrovich states, in relevant part,... "Furthermore, in Commonwealth v. Godschalk, a case strikingly similar to the one at bar, the Pennsylvania Superior Court stated:

Under the decision in Brison and Reese, a Petition for Post-Conviction DNA testing will be granted where conviction rests largely on identification evidence and where advanced technology could definitely establish the accused's innocence.

Herein, appellant's conviction rests largely on his own confession which contains details of the rape which were not available to the public. One of the victims could not identify him at all. As appellant has failed to satisfy the first leg of the Brison standard, we affirm the denial of his petition. 451 Pa. Super 425, 679 A. 2d 1295 (1995)

7. Judge Domitrovich further stated... "as in Godschalk, Defendant's conviction rests not on identification evidence, but on the two voluntary confessions that he gave, which were videotaped by Detective Robert N. Jones of the Erie Police Department on August 31, 1999. (N.T. 6/21/00, pp. 7-11; N.T. 6/22/00, pp. 38-85) Thus, defendant's case is distinguishable from the facts that gave rise to the holdings of the Pennsylvania Superior Court in Brison and Reese. Therefore, since defendant's convictions rest largely on his own confession, as was the case in Godschalk, defendant's assertion that the outcome of the trial would have been

- different if counsel had sought out DNA testing, is without merit. (See Opinion of Judge Domitrovich dated July 2, 2003, and attached hereto as exhibit "A")
- 8. Plaintiff's conviction, sentence and the denial of his PCRA Petitions were upheld by the Pennsylvania Superior Court. Plaintiff's Petition for Allowance of Appeal to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court was denied. (See Docket Sheet attached hereto and marked as exhibit "B")
- 9. Plaintiff's claim must be dismissed because the issue of whether or not the DNA testing and the introduction of DNA evidence would have exculpated him with respect to some of the criminal charges was soundly rejected in State Court. Consequently, he is barred from re-litigating these issues by the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel. See Bearoff v. Bearoff Brothers, Inc., 458 Pa. 494, 327 A. 2d 72 (1974); Duquesne Light Company v. Pittsburgh Railways Company, 413 Pa. 1, 194 A. 2d 319 (1963), cert denied, 377 U.S. 924, 84 S. Ct. 1221, 12 L. Ed. 2d 215 (1964); Omernick v. LaRocque, 46 Supp. 1156 (W.D. Wis. 1976), affirmed 539 F. 2d 715; Smith v. Sinclair, 424 F. Supp. 1108 (W.D. Okla. 1976)
- 10. Plaintiff's claim must also be dismissed because it constitutes an impermissible collateral attack upon a final judgement in State Court. While plaintiff is not seeking monetary damages in his instant civil rights action, he is requesting that defendant be compelled to provide him with the DNA kit in question so that he can perform DNA testing in an attempt to establish that he is not guilty of some of the charges that he was convicted of at trial. In order to grant the requested relief, it would require that this Court conclude that the State Court erred in finding that

the introduction of DNA evidence would have had no effect on the outcome of his trial. See Heck v. Humphrey, U.S. , 114 S. Ct. 2364, 2372 (1994).

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully requested that this Honorable Court recommend that plaintiff's lawsuit against defendant, Office of the District Attorney, be dismissed with prejudice, pursuant to Fed. R.C.P. 12(b)(6).

Respectfully submitted,

s/Matthew J. McLaughlin
Matthew J. McLaughlin
246 West Tenth Street
Erie, Pennsylvania 16501
(814) 454-1010
Counsel for defendant, Office of the District
Attorney

Dated: January 23, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Emmitt Grier, Jr.,

Plaintiff :

v. : C.A. 05-05 ERIE

:

Edward J. Klemm, et al.,

Defendant :

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the within motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R.C.P. 12(b)(6) filed on behalf of defendant, Office of the District Attorney, was served on January 23, 2006, via United States First Class Mail, postage pre-paid, on all counsel of record and unrepresented parties, in accordance with the applicable Rules.

Ву,

s/Matthew J. McLaughlin
Matthew J. McLaughlin
246 West Tenth Street
Erie, Pennsylvania 16501
(814) 454-1010
Counsel for defendant, Office of the District Attorney

Dated: January 23, 2006